

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
[CHHATTISGARH]**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3601 OF 2006

PETITIONER

Veer Narayan Verma s/o
Shri Verma, Assistant
Teacher, Govt. Naveen Primary
School, Tekari, P.O. Girodh,
Tehsil-Dharsiwan, District -
Raipur (CG).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh through
the Secretary, General
Administration Department,
Government of Chhattisgarh,
D.K.S. Bhawan, **Raipur** (CG).

2. ~~State of Chhattisgarh through~~
the Secretary, School
Education Department,
Government of Chhattisgarh,
D.K.S. Bhawan, **Raipur** (C.G.).

3. Director, Public Instructions,
Raipur (C.G.)

4. Shri Ajay Chandrakar,
Prabhari Mantri, Panchayat &
Gramin Vikas, **Raipur** (C.G.).

5. Collector, **Raipur** (CG).
DIST. RAIPUR

6. Dr. Smt. R. Bambara District
Education Officer, **Raipur** (CG).

7. Block Education Officer,
Dharsiwan, District **Raipur**
(CG).

P.R. No. 3670/06
Presented by Shri. Dinesh A.K. Tekari
dated 18/2/06



RECEIVED-COPY

CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
18/11/06

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN
NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION
AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION
OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:**

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3601 सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-7-2006:- Heard Shri Dinesh R.K. Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner and Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1, 2, 3, 5 and 7, on advance copy. By the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Tekari, Dharsiwan to Bhothali, Aarang. This order has been challenged mainly on the ground that the petitioner has been transferred four times in five years and after the transfer of the petitioner, Government Naveen Primary School, Tekari will become teacherless. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. However, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.27/25/2006, I.A. Nos.6991/2006 and 6990/2006 stand disposed of.	

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Barney
27-7-06
27-7-06